

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume 2, Issue No. 11

A monthly newsletter

May, 1997

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

Article I, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

REMEMBER THE ALAMO?

Not only do Americans remember, but, it seems our neighbors south of the 'Cactus Curtain' haven't forgotten either. There's a growing movement among many Mexicans--on both sides of the border--who believe that Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado and California were taken from them illegally by the nasty 'Gringos'.

Make no mistake about it, there are serious plans by many Mexicans to annex these states back to Mexico--and many are willing to use the force-of-arms to achieve their goals. These states, once annexed, will become known as Aztland. A favorite slogan seen on T-shirts worn by Hispanics in the Los Angeles area reads: 'We didn't cross the border. The border crossed us.'

Judging from the information sent to us from Glenn Spencer, who publishes the *Voice of Citizen's Together* (a monthly newsletter that reports on the movement to annex 'Aztland') it may be only a matter of time before we are faced with the same situation that now exists between the Israelis and Palestinians over the West Bank, Gaza and the Golan Heights. Glen told *NJM* by telephone that he is not a member of any militia but emphasized that the militia may play an important role in Southern California's future.

In a classic example of 'Government by Judiciary' U.S. District Judge Mariana R. Pfaelzer struck down portions of Proposition 187 as unconstitutional. Prop 187--which 59 percent of the voters of California approved in November, 1994--would have denied public education, social services and non-emergency health care to illegal immigrants. "It frustrates the will of the people of California," said Gov. Pete Wilson, who supported Prop. 187. Pfaelzer's action illustrates, once again, what Hamilton described in the *Federalist Papers* as "the great engines of judicial despotism".



"The American Southwest seems to be slowly returning to the jurisdiction of Mexico without the firing of a single shot."

Excelsior (Mexico's largest newspaper, --July 1982)

It goes without saying that the ACLU supported Judge Pfaelzer's decision: "Can a state set up its own immigration program? The answer is a resounding no. Proposition 187 treated the Constitution like a dart board," said Mark Rosenbaum of the ACLU. American citizens being forced by the courts to give a portion of their pay checks each week to illegal aliens is the ACLU's definition of an Unalienable Right.

The cost of legal and illegal immigration to American taxpayers is staggering: "The 1994 net cost of \$51.32 billion represents a cost of \$195 to each U.S. resident or \$780 to each family of four."

At a televised meeting of the L. A. County Board of Supervisors on June 20, 1995, Augustin Cebana, the 'Minister of Information'

for the Brown Berets de Aztland, a militant group of Marxists, made the following statement (after berating the Board): "Unless we prepare for an armed struggle, we will be kept at the level of servitude we find ourselves in today...Justice will be swift for those who it is meted out to. The streets will run red with blood of the tyrants who have murdered us for so long. There will be no stay of execution." Yet the government still considers militias to be the real threat to America.

"The sobering fact is that the United States has had no experience comparable to what is now taking place in the Southwest," said Stanford Professor of History, David M. Kennedy, who refers to the current situation as a type of "Chicano Quebec".

Grupo Beta, Mexico's U.S.-trained border Police, who are supposed to prevent illegal border crossings, actually assist. "I don't try to stop these people, I'm trying to do my best to help them get where they're going," said one Grupo Beta officer. This attitude is also held by high-ranking Mexican officials: "Grupo Beta will never be used to stop immigration. Never!" said Jesus Silva Herzog, Mexico's ambassador in Washington, D.C.

Another cost is in fighting crime. The L.A. Times (12/1/96) reported: "Only 1 in 3 killings in L.A. County led to any punishment. In a county with almost 2,000 willful homicides annually, the criminal justice system is so overwhelmed that breakdowns are commonplace from crime scene to courthouse."

Dean Compton, who heads up the National Alliance of Christian Militia in California "...outlined a plan to put 220,000 volunteers on the border with Mexico to stop illegal immigration," VCT reported. No doubt our 'leaders' in Washington will accuse Compton of being "anti-government" all-the-while ignoring the real dangers facing California.

It goes without saying there is no great affection for Glenn Spencer within the Latino community in Southern California. Here's a transcript of a message left on Glenn's answering machine back in July 1995: "Yeah, I'm calling for some asshole named Glenn Spencer. I'm a Mexican-American and you being a white bastard, you are a mother f—ing trespassing son-of-a-bitch and if you don't watch yourself like all the other white mother f—ers here in Aztland, you're all going to be blown away, son-of-a-bitch."

"We're going to go after the celebrities or whoever it takes, just whatever it takes—Jay Leno, whatever. Whoever is in Aztland you're going to have to start giving us respect or we are not going to respect you at all. You understand that, whitey?"

"We know where you live, we know where you f—ing work. And if all us Mexicans and Hispanics here in Los Angeles and California all decide that we've had enough there ain't a damn thing that the f—ing President's going to be able to do. It's going to be all you white, domesticated, dumb-assed bastards against us Hispanics and you're going to lose. No matter what it takes, you're going to lose. We're going to make Oklahoma City look like some little picnic. O.K., stupid ass?"

"You'd better get that message through to all your f—ing friends and the police and the judges too because we Hispanics are tired of being judged by whites. There is going to come a time, it's coming soon, that we aren't going to allow ourselves to be questioned by any white authority. You understand? That's only if we are in eastern part of the U.S., not here in Aztland. Not any part of the f—ing southwest. We don't have to take any damn white authority. Whatever it takes we're going to do what needs to be done. I don't care if they come right now. I am going to take a lot of people with me."

"All Hispanic-on-Hispanic Mexican gang bulls—t is going to be history. It's going to be Hispanic on whitey—what it should be. A lot of that is out of frustration anyway, you know."

"You f—ing white bastards don't know how easy you've had it so far."---Click.

[Closing Note: In light of this (documented) racist and Marxist movement, what groups are the government focusing on? Why...the militias of course--we're the bad guys.

Let it be known that if the great State of California should need assistance in the future, the New Jersey Militia, and no doubt other militias around the country, stand ready to send volunteers to California to help preserve her sovereignty. --Ed.]

ACLU: FRIEND OR FOE?

[Note: We received this letter in response to our Feb. '97 article "The ACLU and the Militia Movement."]

Editor, NJM

Try to understand the American Civil Liberties Union. No matter the views of its members and officers, its mission is the same as that of the militias. To guard civil liberties, to guard against violations of the Constitution. They defend the Nazis in Skokie, IL. Why be surprised if Gregory Nojeim was supportive of the militia movement. Why imply ulterior motives?

This country has big problems with an expanding bureaucracy. Its regulators can invade your home, remove parents or children, exercise police action and because they are anonymous and protected by the state, are not ever held accountable for their actions. They seem to be above the Constitution. They write more regulations that become law than do legislators. The rights of its targets and victims would be better protected under the criminal system than they are under administrative law.

The bureaucracy is a menace. Its regulators are not elected. They are appointed or hired, and under the umbrella of the state usurp tremendous powers. They cannot be deposed. This is the problem, the empowerment of people that cannot be held accountable. The system is the menace, not the people themselves. They simply come and go.

The Militias would do well to focus on this flaw in our system of checks and balances.

C.H.

Island Heights, NJ

Editor's Note: No one will argue that, from time to time, the ACLU and the militia will agree on where the government has exceeded its constitutional limitations. However, what the Militia Movement wants is a return to a true constitutional government--with all its limits obeyed by the government and all Rights of the people recognized as unalienable. The writer claims that the mission of the ACLU is "the same as that of the militias." A close study of the ACLU's history will prove otherwise.

For openers, to understand the ACLU, you must first understand its founder, Roger Baldwin. To quote the ACLU's first staff attorney, Arthur Garfield Hayes, "The American Civil Liberties Union is Roger Baldwin." Baldwin was a rabid supporter of Communism, enamored with Josef Stalin and Soviet Russia. Baldwin despised Christianity, much like his grandfather, William H. Baldwin, who dedicated much of his life to opposing all forms of Christianity. Baldwin's aunt, Ruth Standish Baldwin, was the founder of the National Urban League and a member of the

Socialist Party. The original name of the ACLU was the Bureau for Conscientious Objectors of the American Union Against Militarism. Baldwin changed it in 1920 after spending a year in a Federal prison for sedition.

Peggy Lamson, in her book, *Roger Baldwin: Founder of the American Civil Liberties Union*, quotes Baldwin as saying: "I joined. I don't regret being a part of the Communist tactic which increased the effectiveness of a good cause. I knew what I was doing. I was not an innocent liberal. I wanted what the Communists wanted and I traveled the United Front road to get it." In *The Soviet Union Today*, 1934, Baldwin said, "When the power of the working class is once achieved, as it has been only in the Soviet Union, I am for maintaining it by any means whatever. No champion of a Socialist society could fail to see that some suppression was necessary to achieve it...I am for Socialism, disarmament, and ultimately for abolishing the state itself as an instrument of violence and compulsion. I seek social ownership of property, the abolition of the propertied class, and sole control by those who produce wealth. Communism is the goal." Needless to say, this is not "the same mission" as the militias.

Those who helped Baldwin organize the ACLU reads like a *Who's Who* of American Communists and Socialists. For example:

Norman Thomas, six times the Socialist Party's candidate for President is described in George Grant's book *Trial and Error* as "a very influential board member of the ACLU." (Note: All information and quotations are from Grant's book.)

Morris Hillquit, "...outspoken and headstrong director of the National Executive Committee of the Socialist Party, was a key member of the ACLU's first National Committee."

William Z. Foster, "later the Chairman of the Communist Party U.S.A., was also a crucial founding member of the [ACLU] organization."

Max Eastman, "who served as the editor of the Communist Party's 'The Masses.'"

Henry F. Ward, who, according to *The Congressional Record*, "...maintained membership and affiliation with over two hundred Communist or Communist-Front organizations." Not exactly the type of people you'd find at a militia meeting.

Secondly, we don't imply the ACLU has ulterior motives--the ACLU's founder openly admits them: Baldwin said "All my associates in the struggle for civil liberties take a class position, though many of them don't know it. I too take a class position. It is anti-capitalist

Representatives are "the trustees and servants of the people and at all times amenable to them...Whenever governments shall be found inadequate or contrary to these purposes, the majority of the community hath an indubitable, unalienable and undefeasible right to reform [it]...in such a manner as shall be the most conducive to the public weal." -- George Mason, father of the Bill of Rights

and pro-revolutionary. I champion civil liberty as the best non-violent means of building the power on which the worker's rule must be based. If I aid the reactionaries [such as the militia] to get free speech now and then, it is only because those liberties help to create a more hospitable atmosphere for the working class liberties. The class struggle is the central conflict of the world; all others are incidental."

As you can see, if the ACLU comes to the defense of the militia, it's just a dog and pony show.

As one ACLU spokesman put it, *"Unless we defend the rights of the sonsofbitches, we'll lose our own."* However, strange as it may sound, the ACLU wants to maintain its constitutional rights in order to destroy the Constitution itself, not protect it. In other words, the ACLU is using the system to destroy the system. The ACLU, as proverbial vultures, gorge themselves on the carcass of a dead Constitution all the while proclaiming what a great job they're doing defending it! As Joseph "Angel of Death" Mengele put it, *"The more we do to you, the less you seem to believe we are doing it."*

This *modus operandi* places the militia in a classic "Catch-22" situation; should the ACLU ever come to the militia's defense, you know they're only doing it to achieve a futuristic goal for themselves.

For example: Let's say a militia member gets busted by the BATF. Normally the ACLU wouldn't lift a finger to defend him. However, if the case would set a precedent that could be used in future court battles the ACLU will fight tenaciously to get the militiaman acquitted. They're 'priming the pump' for themselves in the future. The prime objective is to get the Supreme Court to make a decision, even if the case involves a militiaman, and then use that decision later on down the road to further their agenda. Establishing a court decision, or precedent, (i.e., "Precedent-Mongers" as one law Professor puts it: a reinterpretation, or misinterpretation, of the Constitution to their liking) is the all important issue here, *not* the Constitution or the constitutional rights of the militiaman. What's one acquittal of a militiaman in the over all scheme of things? In the words of Roger Baldwin, that's "incidental." As Grant says, *"The ACLU only rushes to the aid of those that contribute to its cause, its movement, and its faith. The perception that it has a diverse portfolio of cases scattered all over the ideological map is, very simply, a myth. Although the organization has occasionally ventured out of the Left's territorial waters to defend such groups as the Ku Klux Klan, the John Birch Society, and even the Jews for Jesus, without exception those cases have been carefully contrived either as public relations 'show pieces' or as 'back door precedents' for their*

own agenda. In other words, they have been little more than a means to an end."

Another way the ACLU achieves its goals is to commit the "Sin of Omission" by not getting involved when a clear constitutional usurpation has taken place if it furthers their cause. One need only look at the cases the ACLU commits itself to and the ones it ignores to understand this principle.

The ACLU is manipulating and orchestrating court decisions in its favor and changing the true meaning of the Constitution in the process. Why go through the legislative process when you can bamboozle the Supreme Court to reinterpret--and thereby change--the original intent of the Constitution? As Mike Brown, author of *The Erwin Rommel School of Law* puts it, *"We're living under a judicial dictatorship and losing our Constitution one court trial at a time."* The original intent of the Constitution is being changed by the courts all across America, thanks in large part to the efforts of the ACLU. If this isn't an "ulterior motive" then someone will have to explain it to us.

"The Constitution as originally conceived was deeply flawed. The ACLU was the missing ingredient that made our constitutional system finally work," claims the ACLU in their *Annual Report* (1986-87). In the same report the ACLU describes itself as *"...the ignition for the constitutional engine, the key that makes it run."* They don't cause the constitutional engine to run, they simply place the transmission in reverse.

In closing, we would challenge federal and state law enforcement officials who busy themselves investigating "anti-government" militias, to find any comments made by us that even come close to the ACLU's record of anti-government, anti-constitutional, statements--and activities. It's ironic that the ACLU is actually guilty of many of the accusations leveled against the militias and yet the government focuses on us. The militia and the ACLU are 180 degrees apart in their ultimate goals.

Legal Hypocrisy

by Paul Hoffman, Defender, Winter, 1997

An important case in which the ACLU has clearly failed to defend the First Amendment is the case of *Curran vs. Mount Diablo Council of the Boy Scouts of America*, now pending before the Supreme Court of California. The ACLU is representing an openly gay plaintiff who sought appointment as an assistant scoutmaster. Curran had attended his high school prom with a male date and had openly proclaimed his gay pride to reporters of several newspapers. When the Council found out about this they rejected his application on the basis of the long-standing policy that openly

homosexual scoutmasters are not good role models for boys. Curran then sued the Council.

The ACLU is leading the attack on First Amendment expressive association rights by challenging the Boy Scouts' 85-year-old policy on the grounds that the BSA is merely another business establishment under the Unruh Act, California's public accommodation law. It takes the position that expressive associations cannot deny membership to any protected class regardless of the association's moral or philosophical viewpoint, because membership discrimination is conduct not speech. The ACLU's position would, if adopted, lead to absurd results which would completely obliterate the right of expressive association. For example, should [the NAACP] be required to accept someone from the Ku Klux Klan?

Note: Mr. Hoffman is an attorney with the Individual Rights Foundation, which is defending the BSA in the *Curran* case. In New Jersey Hoffman and the IRF have agreed to assist in the *Dale vs. Boy Scouts of America and Monmouth Council* case pending before the N.J. Court of Appeals. The ACLU has filed their *amicus* brief on behalf of Mr. Dale, the homosexual who has sued the BSA.

You can help the IRF. If you know anyone who is trying to take away your First Amendment rights call 1-800-LAW-4911 today.

Legislative Updates

Dial the toll free number 1-888-322-1414 for Rep. Ron Paul's freedom-oriented commentary about legislation pending before the Congress. It's updated every Monday.

Here is a sample of legislation Ron Paul has either sponsored or cosponsored:

HR 1146, Second Amendment Restoration Act. Repeals all federal laws prohibiting law-abiding citizens from owning semi-automatic weapons.

HR 1147, The American Sovereignty Act. Calls for immediate US withdrawal from the United Nations.

HR 122, Abolishes the National Endowment of the Arts.

HR 978, The NAFTA Accountability Act

HR 27, The Citizen's Self-Defense Act. Prohibits gun control laws that target law abiding citizens and restores the right of all law abiding citizens to own firearms.

HR 978, Requires certification that NAFTA is benefiting the American people. Without this certification, congressional approval of NAFTA is withdrawn.

WOMEN IN THE MILITIA

I am a freelance writer looking for women who are active militia participants for possible article(s) in general interest women's magazines. I've read plenty about men in the movement but would like to know how women fit in. At present, I am speaking to women informally to

get a sense of possible article ideas. If you can help connect me to any women organization, please e-mail me or call (406) 862-7054. Feel free to pass this note to women who may want to talk about their experiences.

--Cindy Dyson

OLD TIME RELIGION...NOT

Dear NJM:

I enjoy your newsletter greatly each month, but I have a couple of complaints.

As a freedom-fighter myself, I applaud your efforts. As an atheist, however, I find the newsletter infected with irrational, dangerous viewpoints which offend common sense.

Being a freedom-fighter unquestionably obligates me (and all others) to absolutely respect the right of others to worship or not as they see fit (absent aggression). So do not misunderstand me.

Your newsletter targets POLITICAL issues. The discussion of religion (other than that it should be free) in this context is inappropriate. Moreover, your religious discussions always speak approvingly of one particular type of religion, i.e., Christian or judeo-christian heritage.

A good example is Brian Mahoney's otherwise entertaining and clever article in the March '97 issue. The humor and wisdom run into a brick wall with the last, ridiculous sales line about Christ.

Peter Hammond does a nice job of scholarship in "Christians Under Fire" (also March '97), but he also illustrates why atheists consider religion so despicable. The article tries to refute the notion that God & Jesus are "pacifists", a clearly evil designation based on natural law and freedom. Whether he's wrong (why didn't Hammond go head-to-head with the "Turn the other cheek" business?) or right, religious tenets underlie many of the evil laws we oppose, such as welfare law, gun control, drug law, and twisted family laws.

[Note: It would've been helpful if the writer quoted Biblical verses or tenets to clarify his point. The Apostle Paul had the best approach to the issue of "welfare" in II Cor. 3:10 when he said, in effect: "If you don't work--you don't eat." Advocates of the "social gospel" preach "welfare" (the forced re-distribution of wealth); but violating as it does the 8th and 10th Commandments (Thou shalt not steal. Nor covet) it is a perversion with Christianity. Concerning gun control, of the 613 commandments and statutes Moses gave to Israel, there's no mention of any sort of weapons restriction.--Ed]

The implicit argument is often made in *NJM Newsletter* that individual rights flow from religious pronouncement. This argument is more dangerous to freedom than dictatorship itself. Just look at Nancy Lord's brilliant analysis in your March issue of how "interpretation" of the Constitution has led us to legal ruin. Anything,

especially religion, that relies upon such "interpretation," will lead us to horrors, as has in fact been the case innumerable times.

Peace, prosperity and happiness will flow from the basic political good known as liberty. Liberty itself, however, has a basis only in reason and reality. "Faith," which is by definition the opposite of reason, has always been the basis of all terror and violence, and is, of course, the base of all religion.

I am not suggesting for a moment that you (the editors) relinquish your religious beliefs (though it would surely inure to your benefit). However, it is unseemly for them to appear in the newsletter. You needn't restrict your contributors either, but you should state in your header that religious views play no part in your basic mission, which should be to secure liberty.

Other than this, which I see as a fundamental problem, the newsletter is often the first thing I open and read when the mail arrives. Keep up the good work.

A loyal subscriber

Editor's Note: First and foremost, as the writer accurately points out, the purpose of the *NJM Newsletter* is to expose government usurpations. However, if an article is submitted to us that uses a biblical premise to expose these usurpations, so be it, that's "fair-game."

If we followed the writer's advice we would receive similar complaints from our Christian readers and thereby find ourselves in a classic "Damned if we do and damned if we don't" scenario. Our policy is to have no policy on this issue.

Secondly, it is not the goal of the militias to set up a Theocracy or a state religion. We'll defend the right of an atheist as well as a devout Christian or Jew. The Constitution, which all militias support, wouldn't allow many of the writer's concerns to happen.

The writer mentions that relying on interpretation "especially religion" will "lead us to horrors." Most 20th century horrors such as those committed by Hitler, Stalin, the Purges in China under Mao and the Khmer Rouge in Cambodia had no religious basis whatsoever.

The writer states that, "Peace, prosperity and happiness will flow from the basic political good known as liberty. Liberty itself, however, has a basis only in reason and reality." The problem with this statement is that the words underlined have different interpretations according to one political or ideological persuasion--and who has the supreme authority to decide which interpretation is right or wrong?

Closing Note: The problem of interpretation that the writer is referring to is centuries old, both with the Bible and, more recently, the Constitution. But neither can be abandoned because of misinterpretation. The writer seems to be basing his argument on the misinterpretations of the Bible by others. Be

that the case or not, you can no more abandon the Bible because of misinterpretation than you can the Constitution--if that were the case the current Militia Movement--and Christianity--would disappear over night. Our legislative and judicial branches of government have misinterpreted the Constitution, hence the current Militia Movement. Christians should strive for original intent of the Bible and Patriots for original intent of the Constitution and Christian Patriots for both.

SCUM RISES TO THE TOP

--By Walter Williams

Ask the average person his opinion of politicians. You'll hear words crooks, hustlers and tyrants. The reason for this dim assessment of politicians is that in all societies, the scum, with few exceptions, tends to rise to the top. Let's look at it.

People want government to do all manner of things, things that if done privately, would lead to condemnation and jail sentences. Some want government to give money to farmers, poor folk, college students, senior citizens and businesses. There's no Santa Claus or tooth fairy. The only way government can give money to one person is to forcibly take it from another person. If I privately used the same method to raise money for a "deserving" college student, homeless person or businessman, I'd face theft charges. Others among us want government to protect wild wolves, bears and the Stephen's kangaroo rat even if it results in gross violations of private property and loss of lives.

The problem is that some people disagree with having their earnings taken to satisfy someone else's wishes. They don't want the Corps of Engineers and the Fish and Wildlife Service dictating to them what they can and cannot do with their property to ensure a habitat for the kangaroo rat. Force and threats must be used.

Here's the question: Could the average American kill a person who resolutely refuses to give up his earnings so Congress can give it to farmers? Could you kill a person who insists on using all of his property, even though some wolves have set up a den on it? Here's a scenario: The Corps of Engineers commands me not to remove debris from a drainage ditch on my property, placed there by beavers building a dam, because the debris creates a wetland. I remove it anyway. The Corps of Engineers fines me. I refuse to pay the unjust fine. The Corps of Engineers threatens to seize my land. I say no, you won't; it's my land, and I'll protect it. A politician sends marshals to take it, and I get killed defending it.

Few Americans have the stomach or ruthlessness to do what is necessary to make their governmental wishes come true. But they are willing to abandon constitutional principles and rule of law so that those with the stomach for ruthlessness have the tools of massive

central government power to achieve those wishes.

The path we're embarked upon, in the name of good, is a familiar one. The unspeakable horrors of Nazism, Stalinism and Maoism did not begin in the '30s and '40s with the men usually associated with those names. Those horrors were simply the end result of a long evolution of ideas leading to the consolidation of power in central government in the name of "social justice". It was decent but misguided Germans, who would have cringed at the thought of extermination and genocide, who built the Trojan Horse for Hitler to take over.

We Americans promote disrespect for our Constitution, rule of law and private property in our pursuit of "social justice." But the scum that rises to the top has an agenda of command and control that's leading toward totalitarianism. And, incidentally, it's no coincidence that most of those at the top are lawyers -- people with a special, seemingly tutored, contempt for our Constitution and rule of law.

NJM Confronts the UN

Last December the New Jersey Militia issued a nationwide call for patriots to peacefully assemble and protest the United Nations at UN headquarters in New York City March 29. NJM issued the following press release to the establishment media March 27:

"The last time the New Jersey militia came to New York was in 1776 when it fought the British at the battle of Long Island," said Earl Dickey, a militiaman from Trenton. "But the threat to liberty that King George posed pales before what the UN has in mind. Hiding behind an environmental mask, the so-called Wildlands project, and a host of benign sounding treaties the UN has plans to redistribute our wealth, disarm our armed forces, establish its own standing army and institute global taxation."

"And that's just for starters," added Bob Figueroa, a militia spokesman. "The UN has already bypassed Congress and laid claim to more than 40 million U.S. acres as 'biosphere reserves'. It has also claimed the Statue of Liberty and Independence Hall as 'world heritage sites'. With such designations come all of sorts of land-use controls."

The militia was galvanized by the appointment of Maurice Strong as senior advisor to Kofi Annan, the new Secretary-General of the UN. "Annan is merely a figurehead. Strong, a multimillionaire Canadian socialist, wields the real power," said Dickey. "His cousin was a Marxist whose funeral in China was personally arranged by Chou En-lai."

"That's one of the reasons we're coming to New York. We don't need an institution dominated by the likes of socialists whose cousins are hailed by dictators to plan our future for us."--End

NJM forthrightly notified most of the police precincts in Manhattan of our intended purpose, and inclosed some of the literature we planned to distribute. (A copy of a leaflet is included with this newsletter.) The police, out in force with four patrol cars and a paddy wagon, were somewhat sympathetic; some requested literature. Nonetheless they would not let us prop signs up against the retaining wall. (Signs could only be held.) --We have much to do to educate the police about protecting individual rights instead of defending the system's unconstitutional rules and regulations.

We were at Ralph Bunche Park at 43d and 1st Avenue, opposite the UN employees' entrance, and had the opportunity to talk with student delegates coming out. We therefore planted many seeds. Also NYC patriots previously unknown to each other met and formed a militia unit.

The protest had a national effect, thanks to talk radio and the *Spotlight* newspaper. Patriots in Ohio, Kansas and Arizona told NJM that they would protest the UN at their state houses at the same hour.

So how many patriots accomplished all this? Well, there are some thirty million people in the NJ-NY area; about one per million turned out that rainy day. We can't sit and wait for more but just think of what we could do with additional help!

1000% TAX ON AMMO

If Senate Bill 136 is passed that's what you'll be paying for .25, .38 & 9mm ammo. If you think that's bad, the tax rate on ammunition deemed "destructive" (i.e. any jacketed, hollow point projectile which may be used in a handgun, the jacket of which is designed to produce, upon impact, evenly-spaced sharp or barb-like projections that extend beyond the diameter of the unfired projectile), will be 10,000 percent--except for government agents.

TEN TRUTHS OF TYRANNY

--By Matt Giwer

1) Any law the electorate sees as being open to misinterpretation will be misinterpreted in a manner that exceeds the manner of perversion suspected at the time.

2) Any bill that is so difficult to pass that the citizens must be told that it will not be a stepping stone to worse laws will in fact be a stepping stone to worse laws.

3) Any law that requires the citizens to be assured that it does not mean what the citizens fear, means exactly what the citizens fear.

4) Any law passed for a good cause will be applied to other causes against the wishes of the people.

5) Any law enacted to help any one group will be applied to harm people not in that group.

6) Everything the government promises will never happen again will happen.

7) What the government says it could not foresee, the government has planned for.

8) When there is a budget shortfall to cover non-essential government services the citizens will be given the choice between higher taxes or the loss of essential government services.

9) Should the citizens mount a successful effort to stop a piece of legislation it will be passed under a different name.

10) All deprivations of freedom and choice will be increased rather than reversed.

10 TEN REASONS WHY LAWYERS SHOULD REPLACE LAB RATS

10. There is an endless supply.

9. Lab assistants do not get attached to them.

8. It's more fun to shave and stick needles in lawyers.

7. There are some things rats just won't do.

6. It's fun to dispose of them when you're through.

5. It's not "inhumane" treatment when it comes to lawyers.

4. No one cares when a lawyer squeals.

3. We've seen what happens when they are allowed to breed freely.

2. Lawyers belong in cages.

And the number one reason why lawyers should be used instead of lab rats is:

1. Animal rights activists don't care if you torture them.

A REAL ATTITUDE PROBLEM

"Our children are our most important natural resource. Our investment in them today will pay off over and over again for they are the taxpayers of tomorrow." --Rep. Pat Schroeder.

That's all we are in the eyes of the powers that be: worker bees, drones, born and bred to collect honey for the queen bees in Washington. It's no wonder our country is in a mess.

The Dumbest Things Ever Said In Court

The following is a list of actual statements made in courtrooms during the course of trials.

Q. What happened then?

A. He told me, he says, "I have to kill you because you can identify me."

Q. Did he kill you?

Q. Was it you or your brother that was killed in the war?

Q. The youngest son, the 20-year-old, how old is he?

Q. How long have you been a French Canadian?

Q. I show you Exhibit 3 and ask you if you recognize that picture.

A. That's me.
Q. Were you present when that picture was taken?

Q. Do you have any children or anything of that kind?

Q. What is the meaning of sperm being present?

A. It indicates intercourse.
Q. Male sperm?
A. That is the only kind I know.

Q. Now, Mrs. Johnson, how was your first marriage terminated?

A. By death.
Q. And by whose death was it terminated?

Q. Doctor, how many autopsies have you performed on dead people?

A. All my autopsies have been performed on dead people.

Q. Are you qualified to give a urine sample?
A. Yes, I have been since early childhood.

Q. Have you lived in this town all your life?
A. Not yet.

Q. You say the stairs went down to the basement?

A. Yes.
Q. And these stairs, did they go up also?

Q. So you were gone until you returned?

Q. Do you know how far pregnant you are now?

A. I'll be three months on November 8.
Q. Apparently, then, the date of conception was August 8?
A. Yes.
Q. What were you doing at the time?

Q. Now, doctor, isn't it true that when a person dies in his sleep, in most cases he just passes quietly away and doesn't know anything about it until the next morning?

Q. Do you recall approximately the time that you examined the body of Mr. Brown?

A. It was in the evening. The autopsy started about 8:30 p.m.

Q. And Mr. Brown was dead at the time, is that correct?

A. No, you dumb asshole, he was sitting on the table wondering why I was doing the autopsy!

Q. What is your relationship with the plaintiff?

A. She is my daughter.
Q. Was she your daughter on Feb. 13, 1979?

CB SECURITY

If you're a CB'er and don't appreciate eavesdroppers listening in on your conversation, B & A Equipment Co., may have just what you're looking for: an ICOM/GEC-Marconi Secure Systems, 10 channel (150-174 MHz) device that uses high security digital encryption (DES)--not your typical scrambler. Anyone listening in on a standard CB will hear only "white noise" (a loud hissing sound). These units can transmit clear as well as encrypted. Various set-up options are available.

These government surplus, hand-held CBs originally sold for, according to B&A, \$2400 each but now can be bought for \$350. For more information contact:

B & A Equipment Co.
P.O. Box 13000-A
Portland, OR 97213-0017
Tel. 503-281-6864

Letters to the Editor

Dear NJM,

I enjoy the *NJM Newsletter*. I am a member of the N.J. Conservative Party. We are looking for candidates to run for every elective office in N.J. especially the State Legislature, which includes the State Senate and Assembly. If we receive 10% or more we will become an official third party with ballot status equal to that of the Republicans and Democrats. It would be the first time a N.J. Third Party has emerged in a century.

We want to build coalitions with all types of groups throughout N.J. This is one way we can actively campaign to get quite a few votes. Just getting one's name on the ballot is enough. Our candidate for the U.S. Senate got about 51,000 votes and he only spent around \$5,000 (maybe less). That comes to around 10 cents a vote.

I've really learned a lot from your monthly newsletter. If you or anyone you know is interested in allowing their name to be placed on the ballot please let me know.

Jim Spinosa
15 Terrace Rd.
Boonton Twp., N.J. 07005
(201) 263-1192

Dear NJM,

Thank you for having me on your mailing list! I can't tell you how much I appreciate you sending the Newsletter to me. It's a big hit here in the institution! My fellow inmates love it too!

I am currently writing the story of my unit. It's a story of bravery, struggle, sacrifice, success and betrayal. I will send it to y'all as soon as it finished. I think y'all will like it.

One further thing, is there any way y'all can make copies of photos of U.N. helicopters, tanks, etc.

Thanks for all your consideration and the newsletters!

R.H., Federal Prisoner
Petersburg, VA

"IN THE BEGINNING OF CHANGE, THE PATRIOT IS A SCARCE MAN; BRAVE, HATED AND SCORNE. WHEN HIS CAUSE SUCCEEDS, HOWEVER, THE TIMID JOIN HIM, FOR THEN IT COSTS NOTHING TO BE A PATRIOT."

--MARK TWAIN

The New Jersey Militia needs your support!! We plan to follow Thomas Jefferson's ideal to "educate and inform the whole mass of the people..."

N J M, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton, NJ 08650

E-Mail Address: Militia264@aol.com

Mercer Co, Earl.....(609) 989-7292
Mercer Co., Bob.....(609) 695-2733
Hudson Co., Mark.....(201) 442-0797
Middlesex Co., Art.....(908) 607-0833
Essex Co., Mike.....(201) 743-7351
Monmouth Co., Gwendolyn.....(908) 493-4909
Passiac Co., Ken.....(201) 779-4761

(Newsletter subscription - Donation \$10.00)
CASH/BLANK MONEY ORDER ONLY

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY _____

PHONE () _____